

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,965	06/21/2001	Russell L. Kress	Kress 400	5029	
75	590 10/08/2002				
Mr. Edward J.	- -	EXAMINER			
Walnut Woods 5955 W. Main S		HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE			
Kalamazoo, MI	49009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635		
		DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/886,965**

Applicant(s)

RUSSELL L. KRESS

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit **3635**



	The M	IAILING DATE of this c	ommunicatio	n appears	on the cover s	heet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply								
		STATUTORY PERIO			TO EXPIRE _	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM		
		DATE OF THIS COMP may be available under the provi			no event, however,	may a reply I	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
mailing	g date of this	communication.					0) days will be considered timely.		
- If NO	period for repl	y is specified above, the maxim	um statutory peri	od will apply a	ınd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f	rom the mailing date of this communication.		
- Any re	ply received b	in the set or extended period fo by the Office later than three me	onths after the ma				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Status	patent term	adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	ł(b).						
1) 💢	Respons	ive to communication	(s) filed on <u>.</u>	Jun 21, 2	001		·································		
2a) 🗌	This acti	ion is FINAL .	2b) 💢	This act	ion is non-fin	al.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposi	tion of Cl	aims							
4) 💢	Claim(s)	1-50					is/are pending in the application.		
4	1a) Of the	above, claim(s)					is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)						is/are allowed.		
6) 💢							is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s)	10, 12, 13, 18, 19, 2	21-23, 28-3	2, 34-40,	and 42-50		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims _				a	re subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Pape	ors							
9) 🗆	The spec	cification is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer.					
10)	The drav	wing(s) filed on		is/are	a) accept	ted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applica	nt may not request that	any objectio	n to the d	rawing(s) be h	eld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The prop	oosed drawing correct	ion filed on		i	s: a) □ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If appro	ved, corrected drawing	s are required	d in reply t	to this Office a	iction.			
12)	The oath	or declaration is obje	ected to by t	he Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and '	120						
13)	Acknow	ledgement is made of	a claim for	foreign pr	riority under 3	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [All b)	☐ Some* c)☐ N	one of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. 🗆 Co	pies of the certified co application from	opies of the	priority do	ocuments have	e been re	eceived in this National Stage		
*S	ee the att	ached detailed Office					eceived.		
14)	Acknow	ledgement is made of	a claim for	domestic	priority unde	r 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).		
a) [The tra	anslation of the foreig	n language p	provisiona	l application l	nas been	received.		
15)	Acknow	ledgement is made of	a claim for	domestic	priority unde	r 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm									
_		nces Cited (PTO-892)	(070.015)				O-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5 Other:							t Application (PTO-152)		
~ 'A			, raper 110(s)	_	or				

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #3,778,528 to HEIFETZ in view of US Patent #5,043,369 to BAHN et al. HEIFETZ discloses the use of a modular living enclosure including a plurality of molded plastic sections (10,12)defining a door (40), a floor (F), a top (T), and four upright walls (S,38,50), see the marked attachment. HEIFETZ discloses the basic claimed enclosure except for the use of ceramic particulate-filled resin material. BAHN et al. teaches that it is known in the art to form

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/886,965 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

an article from a ceramic particulate material. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the enclosure of HEIFETZ from the ceramic particulate of BAHN et al. in order to create a structure that is very strong and durable. In regards to claims 2 and 3, the structure of HEIFETZ discloses the use of fiber resin layers (30,36). The color of the resin layer would have been on obvious matter of design choice depending upon the use intended. In reference to claims 4 and 5, the sections (10,12) each include L-shaped flanges (L), see also the marked attachment, to hold fascia panels (24,26,65). Regarding claim 6 and 7, the enclosure (10,12) includes integral furniture (86,92) consisting of at least a shelf (86).

4. Claims 1,8,9,11,14-17,20,24,25,26,27,33 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 1,048,690. GB 1,048,690 discloses the use of a modular living enclosure including a plurality of molded plastic sections (12,13) defining a door (D), a floor (F), a top (20,21,24,44), and four upright walls (16,17,31,32,39,40,41), see the marked attachment. GB 1,048,690 discloses the basic claimed enclosure except for the use of ceramic particulate-filled resin material. BAHN et al. teaches that it is known in the art to form an article from a ceramic particulate material. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the enclosure of GB 1,048,690 from the ceramic particulate of BAHN et al. in order to create a structure that is very strong and durable. In regards to claims 8,9,11,14,20,24,26,27 the enclosure (12,13) includes a bathroom fixture (2,38) including a washbasin, page 2, lines 28 and 59. In reference to claim 15, although

Application/Control Number: 09/886,965 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

GB 1,048,690 does not explicitly teach the use of a conduit and sump chamber, it does however, disclose the use of a water tank (28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the enclosure of GB 1,048,690 includes a conduit and sump chamber. Regarding claim 16 and 17, GB 1,048,690 discloses the use of a floor drain, page 2, line 91-96, and plumbing. In reference to claim 33, although GB 1,048,690 does not explicitly teach the use of a thermostat, it does however disclose the use of heating ducts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the enclosure would include a thermostat. Regarding claim 41, although GB 1,048,690 only shows one enclosure, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that several modular enclosures could be assembled, since the mere duplication of essential working parts involve only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 10,12,13,21-23,28-32,34-40 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 18,19 and 43-50 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3635

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In regards to claim 10, the prior art of record fails to teach the use of a modular enclosure having integral feet.

In reference to claims 12 and 18 the prior art of record fails to teach the use of a modular enclosure including an integrally molded toilet.

Regarding claims 21-23, the prior art of record fails to teach the use of a modular enclosure including an integrally molded bed surface, seating surface, or desk surface.

In reference to claims 28-32,34-40,42-50, the prior art of record fails to teach the use of a modular enclosure including electrical fixtures, ventilation, monitoring camera, emergency signal switch, or sprinkler.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

YMH

Primary Examiner

September 30, 2002

SHEET 1 OF 2

